Gary S. Fish, Esq. (GSF 6551) Attorney for the Plaintiff 15 Maiden Lane, Suite 2000 New York, New York 10038 (212) 964-5100

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X CASE NO.:

GEORGE BESHARA,

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

PLAINTIFF,

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK; POLICE OFFICER AIDA DEJESUS, "JOHN DOE" AND "RICHARD ROE"

(Plaintiff Demands a Trial by (Jury of all issues herein)

DEFENDANTS.	
	_X

The plaintiff, by and through his attorney Gary S. Fish, Esq., sets forth the following as his Complaint against defendants herein.

I. PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

- 1. On or about March 14, 2016, and at all times relevant herein, the plaintiff, a US citizen, resided and resides at 450 95th Street, Brooklyn, New York.
- 2. On or about March 14, 2016, and at all times relevant herein, on information and belief, defendant The City of New York, was and is a municipal corporation lawfully organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, and on said date and all times relevant herein, said defendant had and has a principal place of business located at 530 Municipal Building, NY, NY 10007.

- 3. On or about March 14, 2016, and at all times relevant herein, on information and belief defendant Police Officer Aida DeJesus was a police officer assigned to the 68th Precinct, Brooklyn, New York, and on said date and at all times relevant herein, each act of wrongdoing herein after alleged to have been committed by this defendant was committed within the scope of her agency and/or authority and/or employment on behalf of defendant The City of New York.
- 4. On or about March 14, 2016, and at all times relevant herein, defendants "John Doe" and "Richard Roe" whose true identities are presently unascertained, were and are police officers assigned to the 68th Precinct, Brooklyn, New York, and each act of wrongdoing herein after alleged to have been committed by said defendants was committed within the scope of their agency and/or authority and/or employment on behalf of the defendant The City of New York.

 5. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1343(a) (3), the Federal Court herein has original jurisdiction to redress the deprivation of civil rights under color of any law, statute, ordinance of any right, privilege, or immunity secured by the U.S. Constitution, providing for equal rights of citizens or of all persons within U.S. jurisdiction, and jurisdiction exists under the alleged below stated Fourth Amendment violation and/or Fourteenth Amendment violations herein.

 6. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1391(b) in a case where jurisdiction is not solely founded on diversity jurisdiction, such as the present case, venue is proper where the defendant resides or a judicial district where a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred, and venue is proper therefor in the United States District Court, Eastern District of New York.

COUNT I (VIOLATION OF FOURTH AMENDMENT)

7. On or about March 14, 2016, at approximately 800PM, while the plaintiff was lawfully traversing on or near 82nd and 5th Avenue, Brooklyn, New York the defendants without probable cause and without a warrant, arrested him, for, inter alia, for attempted assault. 8. The unlawful search and unlawful seizure in violation of the Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, was wrongful, intentional, without justification and/or probable cause, and denied the plaintiff equal rights, protection and privileges under law, in one or more of the following ways, in that: (a) police documentation prepared by defendant DeJesus, The Complaint Room Screening Sheet, plaintiff Exhibit "1" shows that plaintiff was attacked by an autistic 7 year old boy (Maxim Shermata (sic)), who punched him in the stomach, and that plaintiff who was taken to Lutheran Hospital for chest pains, was the real victim, and not one Sagarashvilli (sic) who refused medical treatment; (b) the defendants selectively arrested plaintiff for alleged assault, whereas the assaulting individual, Sagarashvilli, was not so charged; ©) The Prisoner Movement slip generated by defendant DeJesus, plaintiff Exhibit "2", shows a diamond shaped laceration to the right side of plaintiff's face, whereas the EDP sheet, plaintiff Exhibit "3" generated by defendant DeJesus states that complaining victim sustained no visible injury and refused medical treatment on the scene and refused medical treatment at the 68th Precinct.; (d) The 3-15-16 Property Clerk Invoice, plaintiff Exhibit "4" generated by defendant DeJesus shows that an undamaged blue umbrella was then invoiced by defendant DeJesus, whereas the subject complaint, plaintiff Exhibit "5" signed by defendant DeJesus on April 4, 2016 approximately 21 days following the subject 3-14-16 incident expressly

states that the subject umbrella was destroyed, and that complaining victim Sagarashvilli (sic) sustained substantial injury on 3-14-16; (e) any damage occurring on or about 4-4-16 to the subject blue umbrella which was taken into police invoice on or about 3-15-16, plaintiff Exhibit "4" constituted destruction of evidence by defendant DeJesus, defendants "John Doe" and "Richard Roe" the 68th Precinct, Brooklyn, New York and defendant The City of New York in an attempt to create false evidence and/or charges against plaintiff; and (f) The 4-4-16 criminal complaint sworn to under oath by defendant DeJesus falsely, deliberately, maliciously, and perjuriously concocted four (4) personal injury related crimes and three (3) property damage crimes against plaintiff.

- 9. As a result of the subject Fourth Amendment violations, the plaintiff sustained loss of liberty.
- 10. As a result of defendants' violations of the Fourth Amendment, the plaintiff was caused to incur and will incur reasonable attorney fees and costs.
- 11. As a result of defendants' violations of the Fourth Amendment, plaintiff was caused to incur and will incur emotional pain and suffering, including anxiety, distress, humiliation and sadness.
- 12. As a result of defendants' violations of the Fourth Amendment, a criminal complaint Docket #2016KNO20608, was brought against plaintiff, which complaint was dismissed on or about November 18, 2016, Criminal Court of City of New York, County of Kings, 120 Schermerhorn Street, Brooklyn, NY 11201.

COUNT II (DENIAL OF EQUAL PROTECTION AND DUE PROCESS IN VIOLATION OF FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT)

- 13. Plaintiff herewith repeats, restates and realleges Paragraphs 1-12 herein above.
- 14. The aforesaid deliberate and/ir unlawful and/or unconstitutional practices by defendants violated plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment rights to equal protection and/or due process of law, and plaintiff thereby sustained the above injuries and damages.

COUNT III (MALICIOUS PROSECUTION-ANCILLARY JURISDICTION)

- 15. Plaintiff herewith repeats, restates and realleges Paragraphs 1-14 herein above.
- 16. The subject state malicious prosecution claim arises out of the same factual particulars as pertains to the subject 3-14-16 Fourth Amendment and Fourteenth Amendment violations herein, and the Court has ancillary jurisdiction over the malicious prosecution claim.
- 17. The criminal prosecution of plaintiff was done intentionally, maliciously, without probable cause, was dismissed on or about 11-18-16 by the Court, because the People could not prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt and was thereby favorably terminated; Certificate of Disposition, plaintiff Exhibit "6". The CPL Section 160.50 unsealing authorization duly executed and notarized is hereby attached as plaintiff Exhibit "7".
- 18. The criminal prosecution of plaintiff was done egregiously, heinously, opprobriously, in a manner calculated to and that did damage plaintiff's liberty rights, and defendants are liable for punitive and exemplary damages as a result thereof.
- 19. On or about December 19, 2016, Claim #2016PI029781, plaintiff timely and properly served

by way of certified mail/return receipt an amended notice of claim herein.

20. On January 23, 2017, a 50-h hearing of plaintiff (then claimant) was held and completed at Law Office of Jeffrey Samel, 150 Broadway, NY, NY, and plaintiff has fulfilled any conditions precedent herein to filing the subject state claim sounding in malicious prosecution.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

AS AND FOR EACH OF THE FIRST, SECOND AND THIRD COUNTS:

- 1. For general damages in the amount of Twenty Five Million Dollars and Zero Cents (\$25,000,000.00);
- 2. For punitive and exemplary damages in the amount of One Hundred Million Dollars and Zero Cents (\$100,000,000.00);
- 3. For reasonable attorney fees and costs;
- 4. For disbursements; and
- 5. For any other just relief deemed proper by the Court.

DATED: NEW YORK, NEW YORK JANUARY 31, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

Gary S. Vish, Esq. (GSF 6551)

Attorney for the Plaintiff 5 Maiden Lane, Suite 2000

NY, NY 10038; (212) 964-5100



OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, KINGS COUNTY

RENAISSANCE PLAZA at 350 JAY STREET BROOKLYN, N.Y. 11201-2908 (718) 250-2000

KENNETH P. THOMPSON

District Attorney	Compla	int Room Sc	reening Sheet		FOOP DNA sample offer	nse
Screener: Jornathan Hagter Screening date: April 04, 2015 180.80 Date: GJ Date: . GJ	Time;	Bureau: Trial E	lureau 2 - Blue		Narcotics: N	
Defendants Name - Leet First BESHARA, GEORGE Gang member	<u>Апреt Number</u> K15619770	Şex DOB M	Age Compleint/OP	Class Misdemeanor	NON Grand Jury	
TPOs <u>Paje/Time</u> 1 03/14/16 19:30 to:	Place 6 AVENUE A	ND 82 STREET		PCT Near School	of (if narcotics sale)	
Arrests Defendant BESHARA, GEORGE Circumstances: Arrest Charges: Pl. 120000	Date/Time 03/14/16 20:26	Place 5 AVENUE 82 ST	REET .			
Complaint Charges						
BESHARA, GEORGE	TPO 1 PL 165	40, PL 120.15, PL 1	45.00(1), PL 155.25,	PL 240.26(1), PL 110/	120.00(1), PL 260.10(1)
DAT // DEF. TOO TPO IS A PUBLIC STREET. CW-1 IS AN AUTISTIC CHILD (7 YE		ÆAR OLD CH	ILD) UMBRELL	A AND PUSHE) GW-2	
EW IS CW-1.5 MOTHER. CW-2 IS EWIS FRIEND. CW-1, CW-2, AND EW ARE STRAN	. 2000-100 - 70-00-20 -7 0-20	E F]4	
AT TPO, GW-1 STRUCK DEF. ABOU DEF, SHOUTED AT GW-1	JT THE STOMACH	ı [¥%	W.		
CW-2 AND DEF. HAD A VERBAL AF MULTIPLE BYSTANDERS CALLED DEF. TOOK CW-1'S UMBRELLA FR DEF. BROKE SAID UMBRELLA ON DEF. THEN PUSHED CW-2. CAUSII	911. OM CW-1'S HAND DEE 'S LEC	20 G	V-2'S HEAD ON TH	IE SIDEMAN		
等 學长		;	THO TIEND ON TH	IE SIDEWALK,		
NOTHER PO UNIT WAS ALREADY BEF. MADE NO STATEMENTS TO A DO ARRESTED DEF.	ON SCENE.	38.01			T	
EF. COMPLAINED OF CHEST PAI W COMPLAINED OF PAIN AND RI	N, TRANSPORTED MA.	TO LUTHERAN	HOSPITAL (TREAT	ED AND RELEASE	:D).	•
W-1'S DATE OF BIRTH	it.					. 0,
Witnesses: Name: Age	Gender Defenden GEORGE		Relationship to	Defendant		
	GEORGE E		Stranger	(p)		
	GEORGE E	BESHARA	Stranger			
ńjuries -						1
Déscription of injuries: PAIN T			* :	586		
Medical Treatment Description	00/00/00 Ho	epital:	2		Photo taken	
lentifications :	A774					
DEPUADA OFFICE	Vitness Type /iclim/Eyewitness .it	Date/Time Pla 3/14/16 20:10 5 A	VENUE AND 82 ST A	olice Officer Present DA DEJESUS, shield:271	95, CMD;098	•
manufact	/ictim/Eyewitness	3/14/16 20:10 5 A	come: Positive Identi: VENUE AND 82 5T A	DA DEJESUS, shleid:271	35, CMD:088	
The state of the s	1	, Out	come: Positivo identif	ication		_
77 / (C18/318770)		1-00		1 1. 4.	io-	•

flantiff Exhibit "1"





68TH PCT.			:		85				Invokes Statu OPEN
Involce Date 03/15/2016		Property Type GENERAL	PROPERTY				ARI		Property Calogor
Omaors	Rank	Name	. Yex No.	Conumand		341248			1
involcing Arresting	POF	DEJESUS, AIDA DEJESUS, AIDA	Marin Control	68TH PR		OCME.			į
gnteglicevn	NA		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				ab Evid, Ctrl. N	ło.	
Dat Squad Supervisor	N/A						Case No.	N/A	1.
CSU/ECT Processing	N/A		•				T Run No.	N/A	·i
Item Total OTY	Article(a)				PETS No.	Pka, QTV	Disposition		<u> </u>
1 1	UMBR	ELLA			1300348518	1. 1	T		
	COLO	R: BLUE		ayonesia II					:
REMARKS: 948217 03/15/2	016 02:4	3: VOUCHERED A	AS ARREST EVIDENCE			10	•		÷
Date Of Incident Penal	Code/Desc	iplion	Orline Classification	Related To					Recal
03/14/2016 1200	O/ASSA	ULT	MISDEMEANOR	N/A					REFUSE
Prisoner(s) Name		D.O.B	Age Address	100		,	Arrest No./Surn	mons No. NY	RITI No.
1 BESHARA, GEORGE		69)	K16519770			
	Namo	**************************************	Tax No. Ac	tdress .				Phone. No	
Findor(s)									
Owner(s)									
			•				5		20
Complainan(s)									•
Complains No.	2016-	068-01345	•						
Related Comp No.(s)	N/A	000-01242	3						•0
Alded/Accident No.(s)	N/A								į
Related Involce(s)	N/A								ŧ
									
Approvals	Renk	Name	Tax No.	Comm		Date		Time	
Entered By	POF	DEJESUS, AIDA			PRECINCT		15/2016	02:41	ì
nvoicing Officer	POF	DEJESUS, AIDA	>	6813-	PRECINCT	03/	15/2016	02:46	
	90	-							
80 00.00088488				Α					:
opproved By	SGT	ROSA, ANGEL	and the same of	68TH	PRECINCT	03/	15/2016	02;46	
		320 -							į.
		9.60	5 00	E					
	l		A						
11 H 11 17 2 11 1 W	11 II II I	T NIC	228	*				*	
							PC	D Slorage Ne,	
31 8 11 3 11 1 11 1 1 1		,	ADA	Copy					
11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	10000	LAIL	orinted: 03	V15/2018 02:48				Page	No. 1 of 2

ADA Copy
| Invoice No. 3000638576

Page No. 1 Of 2

Plantist Ghibit "4"

CRIMINAL COURT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK PART AFAR COUNTY OF KINGS

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF KINGS

GEORGE BESHARA

POLICE OFFICER AIDA DEJESUS, SHIELD NO.27135, OF 068 COMMAND, SAYS THAT ON OR ABOUT MARCH 14,2016 AT AFFROXIMATELY 07:30 PM AT 5 AVENUE AND 82 STREET COUNTY OF KINGS, STATE OF NEW YORK,

THE DEFENDANT COMMITTED THE OFFENSE(S) OF:

PL 145.00(1) CRIMINAL MISCHIEF IN THE FOURTH DEGREE
PL 155.25 FETIT LARCENY (DQO)
PL 165.40 CRIMINAL POSSESSION OF STOLEN PROPERTY IN THE FIFTH
DEGRAE
PL 260.10(1) ENDANGERING THE WELFARE OF A CHILD (DQO)
PL 110/120.00(1) ATTEMPTED ASSAULT IN THE THIRD DEGREE (DQO)
PL 240.26(1) HARASSMENT IN THE SECOND DEGREE (DQO)

IN THAT THE DEFENDANT DID:

WITH INTENT TO CAUSE PHYSICAL INJURY TO ANOTHER PERSON, ATTEMPT TO CAUSE SUCH INJURY TO SUCH PERSON OR TO A THIRD PERSON; BY PHYSICAL MENACE, INTENTIONALLY PLACE OR ATTEMPT TO PLACE ANOTHER PERSON IN FEAR OF DEATH, IMMINENT SERIOUS PHYSICAL INJURY OR PHYSICAL INJURY; HAVING NO RIGHT TO DO SO NOR ANY REASONABLE GROUND TO BELIEVE THAT THE DETENDANT HAD SUCH RIGHT, INTENTIONALLY DAMAGE PROPERTY OF ANOTHER PERSON; STEAL PROPERTY; KNOWINGLY POSSESS STOLEN PROPERTY WITH THE INTENT TO BENEFIT THE DETENDANT OR A PERSON OTHER THAN AN OWNER THEREOF OR TO IMPEDE THE RECOVERY BY AN OWNER THEREOF; WITH INTENT TO HARASS, ANNOY OR ALARM ANOTHER PERSON, STRIKE, SHOVE, KICK OR OTHERWISE SUBJECT SUCH OTHER PERSON TO PHYSICAL CONTACT, OR ATTEMPT OR THREATEN TO DO THE SAME; KNOWINGLY ACT IN A MANNER LIKELY TO BE INJURIOUS TO THE PHYSICAL, MENTAL OR MORAL WELFFARE OF A CHILD LESS THAN SEVENTEEN YEARS OLD OR DIRECT OR AUTHORIZE SUCH CHILD TO ENGAGE IN AN OCCUPATION INVOLVING A SUBSTANTIAL RISK OF DANGER TO HIS OR HER LIFE OR

THE SOURCE OF DEPONENT'S INFORMATION AND THE GROUNDS FOR DEPONENT'S BELIEF ARE AS FOLLOWS:

DEPONENT IS INFORMED BY THAT, AT THE ABOVE TIME AND PLACE, THE INFORMANT OBSERVED DEFENDANT TAKE THE UMBRELLA OF BREAK SAID UMBRELLA OVER DEFENDANT'S LEG, AND PUSHED INFORMANT CAUSING INFORMANT TO STRIKE INFORMANT'S HEAD ON THE GROUND.

DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY THE INFORMANT THAT THE ABOVE DESCRIBED ACTIONS CAUSED INFORMANT TO SUFFER SUBSTANTIAL PAIN, TO FEAR FURTHER PHYSICAL INJURY, AND TO BECOME ALARMED AND ANNOYED.

DEPONENT IS INFORMED BY ORRYSLVA MUDRA THAT INFORMANT IS THE MOTHER OF AND THAT SOME SOME OF BIRTH IS

THE DEPONENT IS FURTHER INFORMED BY INFORMANT
THE CUSTODIAN OF THE ABOVE-DESCRIBED UMBRELLA AND DEFENDANT HAD NEITHER
PERMISSION NOR AUTHORITY TO DAMAGE THAT PROPERTY, AND THAT THE DEFENDANT HAD
NEITHER PERMISSION NOR AUTHORITY TO TAKE, USE, OR OTHERWISE EXERCISE DOMINION OR
CONTROL OVER SAID UMBRELLA.

FALSE STATEMENTS MADE IN THIS DOCUMENT ARE PUNISHABLE AS A CLASS A MISDEMEANOR PURSUANT TO SECTION 216-45-OF THE PENAL MAX.

SIGNATURE

Printed 04/04/2016 10:13 K16619770 Arrested: 03/14/2016 20:26

Plandiff Shilit "5"

- # 2016PE029981

CRIMINAL COURT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF KINGS

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW VS

CERTIFICATE OF DISPOSITION NUMBER: 58477

BESHARA, GEORGE

Defendant

Date of Birth

Address

NYSID Number

BROOKLYN City

State Zip

03/14/2016 Date of Arrest/Issue

Summons No:

145.00 155.25 165.40 260.10 110-120.00 120.15 240.26

Arraignment Charges

Case Disposition Information:

Docket Number: 2016KN020608

Date

Court Action 11/18/2016 DISMISSED - MOTION OF DA

Judge GERSTEIN, M TRIAL1

SEALED

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS IS A TRUE EXCERPT OF THE RECORD ON FILE IN

THIS COURT.

KUSHNIR COURT OFRICIAL SEGNATURE AND SEAL

01/25/2017

FEE: 10.00

(CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT OFFICIAL UNLESS EMBOSSED WITH THE COURT

SEAL OVER THE SIGNATURE OF THE COURT OFFICIAL.)

Plantis Shibit "6"

J\$ 44 (Kev. 07/16)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The 19 44 civil cover shoot and the information command herein neither replace for supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of miniming the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTITUTE OF THIS PORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	,	, MANUEL MANUEL AND	DEFENDANTS		
Kings C	of First 1. Island Plaintiff MCHOT IN (I.S. PLAINTIFF C.) OUNTY Address, and Telephone Number CS9-, (212-) 9 Thoo, NY, NY 18		NOTE: IN LAND CO	of Vital Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O INDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED. GRAND INVOLVED. GRAND INVOLVED.	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Pluce on "X" In C	ne Huz (Inly)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Fince on "X" in One Bus for Flamps and One Box for Infection)
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Foregreens)	Vol it Parry)	Citizon of This State	TF DRF D : Incorporated or fr of Australia 1	PTF DEF incipal Place 0 4 \$1.4
C 2 U.S. Government Defendant	(Indicate Cinearism	p of Purnex in Irem (II)	Cirizen of Another State (7)	2 🗖 2 Interposend and in Agricus In a	
			Citizen to Subject of a O	3 O 3 Foreign Nation	U 6 U 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Plane an "X" m Qua Dox On	lu)		Colonia de la co	
110 Insurance 120 Marino 120 Marino 130 Miller Act 140 Negatiable Instrument 150 Receivery of Overpayment & Enferonment of Judgment 151 Medicaro Act 152 Receivery of Overpayment (Excludin Voterant) 153 Receivery of Overpayment of Veteria's Benefits 160 Speakholders' Suits 190 Other Construct 191 Chentract Product Liability 190 Franchise 190 Franchise 191 Chentract Product Liability 190 Franchise 220 Franchise	PERSONA L INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Llability 1320 Assandt, I shel & Standor 330 Factoral Employers' I lability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 340 Ciber Personal Injury 340 Ciber Personal Injury 340 Ciber Personal Injury 340 Ciber Malacoccies	PERSONAL, INJUR DERSONAL, INJUR 365 Personal Injury Product Liability Really Cerv Pharmacemical Poranal Injury Product Liability 368 Arbestoe Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPES 371 Truth in Lending 736 Other Personal Property Dantage 738 Property Dantage Product Liability	of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other 700 Pair Labor Sundards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Renitway Labor Act 751 Pamily and Medical 1,0404 Act 791 Employee Renironent (numm Negurity Act 740 Chia Labor Litigation 791 Employee Renironent (numm Negurity Act 740 Other Labor Litigation 740 Other Labor Labor Litigation 740 Other Labor Labor Litigation 740 Other Labor Labor Labor Litigation 740 Other Labor L	422 Appell 28 USC 158 423 Withdrovel 28 UNC 157 A20 Copyrights 840 Yestemperk 840 Yestemperk 840 Yestemperk 861 UIA (1.1957) 863 DIWCADIWW (403(g)) 864 SSID Tale XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) 870 Tares (1.3 Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 URS Third Party 26 USC 7609	275 False Claims Act 3729(a)) 400 Rutu Hoseppartioninant 410 Antituat 440 Ranks and Ranking 430 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Radioscer Influenced and Certupt Organizations 480 Commerce Todair 490 Cablarkar TV 830 Neutrition/Lorentudition/ Eachsing 890 Apricalitural Acts 783 Revironmental Matters 895 Freedown of Information
	anaved from 🗖 3	Confinement Remunded from Appellate Court state under which you a	Reopened Anothe		n - Liitigation •
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of a		arching (Domos cite juristicional) sta With and Fourteensy to bely arrested on Never	d. 14,2016	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE:	IS A CLASS ACTIO		JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: 2 PUYes 17 No
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (Nec mistructions):	JUDGEi	Total Control of	DOCKET NUMBER	1:17 0-00803
DATE March 2;	2017	SIGNATURE OF A	TORNER OF RECORD (65+6551)		
POR OFFICE USE ONLY KECENT A	אטטאז'_	APPI.YING IPP		MAÜ. J	nbae

cxclus	CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY bitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, of Interest and costs, are oligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a ion to the contrary is filed.	ı
ί,	Any 3- 13h, counsel for // do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is le for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):	
	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs.	
	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,	
	the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason	
	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1	
	Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:	
	RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)	
provide besaus same ji sasc: ()	t all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Bosiness Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or he cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the eart magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the circolves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power to determine otherwise pursuant to puragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the	ivil ver
	NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)	
1.)	Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County:	
2.)	If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or emissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County?	
	b) Did the events or unissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District?	
Suffol	Inswer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassa County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassa (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).	or u
	<u>BAR ADMISSION</u>	
l am c	rently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No	
Arc y	currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No	

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature